This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,753	09/28/2001	Marc Chauchard	01682.0110	3109
7590 08/09/2004			EXAM	INER
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,		BASEHOAF	R, ADAM L	
Garrett & Duni	ner, L.L.P.			
1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3315			2178	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

····		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary			
		09/964,753	CHAUCHARD ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Adam L Basehoar	2178
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 See	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed experiment 2001. action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro-	S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any
Dienoeiti	ion of Claims	n parte <i>quayr</i> e, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0 O.G. 210.
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4-13 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
	on Papers		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the discrepancement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Elrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:	e
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: The Application filed on 09/28/01 which claims foreign priority to French application 0012397 filed on 09/29/00.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are pending in the case. Claim 1 is an independent claim

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology as well as its non narrative format. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 2178

6. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

7. Claims 4-13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Berke (US: 6,629,092 09/30/03).

-In regard to independent claim 1, Berke teaches a process for registering a trademark by means of a local computer (Fig. 2: 4) connected to a remote computer (Fig. 2: 8) via a computer Internet network (Fig. 2: 6)(column 4, lines 58-65) performing the following steps in order:

entering the trademark (columns 3 & 4, 30-32 & 15-19);

selecting the products or "goods" to which the trademark applies (column 3, lines 30-32); validating the entry and the selection (column 3, lines 32-36);

sending (storing) the validated entry and selection to the remote computer (column 3, lines 36-38) via the network (column 3, lines 19-25).

-In regard to dependent claim 2, Berke teaches wherein at step of storing the trademark and goods (column 3, lines 36-38) at the remote server computer (Fig. 2: 8) was implemented through cooperation between the local (Fig. 2: 4) and remote computer (Fig. 2: 8) via entering and sending the information between the two computers over the network.

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berke (US: 6,629,092 09/30/03) in view of Kirkpatrick et al (US: 2001/0042022 11/15/01).

-In regard to dependent claim 3, Berke teaches validating the mark by checking the remote server computer if the combination of the mark and the goods associated with the mark were unique (column 3, lines 30-36), wherein once they were considered unique they were transmitted to the remote computer and stored (column 3, lines 36-38). Berke does not teach wherein the validated entry and selection are re-transmitted from the remote computer to another remote computer by electronic mail. Kirkpatrick et al teach sending an email notification (Fig. 1: 28a-b) of product registration from a remote computer (Fig. 1: 16) to another remote computer (Fig. 1: 18a-b)(pp. 3: Paragraph 0026). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Berke to have sent an email notification to another remote computer as shown in Kirkpatrick et al, because Kirkpatrick et al teaches that the second remote computer may provide the local user computer the benefit of a verified acknowledgement that the registration process had been completed and accepted (pp. 3: Paragraph 0026)

Art Unit: 2178

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-2002/0077941	06-2002	Halligan et al.
US-2002/0042784	04-2002	Kerven et al.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam L Basehoar whose telephone number is (703) 305-7212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/964,753

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALB

STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER